

**FILED**

SEP 8 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 08-89009, 08-90021,  
08-90022, 08-90189, 08-90190,  
08-90191, 08-90192 and  
08-90193

**ORDER**

Before: **THOMAS**, Chief Judge, **CANBY**, **PAEZ**, **CALLAHAN**, **N.R. SMITH** and **MURGUIA**, Circuit Judges, **R. COLLINS**, **PHILLIPS** and **WINMILL**, Chief District Judges, and **ILLSTON** and **AIKEN**, District Judges

Complainant's petition to lift the pre-filing review order entered by the Judicial Council on April 29, 2009, is denied. Complainant contends that the Judicial Council did not provide him adequate due process before entering the pre-filing review order, however, complainant was given 30 days to respond to an Order to Show Cause. As explained in the April 29, 2009, Order, complainant did not respond to the Order to Show Cause and instead filed a petition for review which did not sufficiently address the Order to Show Cause.

Complainant's history of filing frequent, insubstantial judicial misconduct complaints and petitions for review and his failure to demonstrate good cause in support of this request weigh against lifting the pre-filing review order at this time.

This denial is without prejudice to respondent filing, no earlier than two years after the date of this order, a new motion to lift the pre-filing review order, setting forth the reasons why the order should be lifted.

The new misconduct complaints submitted under the Judicial Council's April 24, 2009, pre-filing review order in Nos. 08-89009+ have been determined not to merit further review. Accordingly, those documents will not be considered further.